

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/746,601	MCCORMICK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert C. Scheibel	2666

All Participants:

(1) Robert C. Scheibel.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Ross Snyder.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2005

Time: 12:30 PM EDT

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-23

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patents 5,802,040, 5,926,456, and 5,912,877

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested that the applicant cancel claims 17-23 and amend claim 1 to include the first paragraph of claim 3 via examiner's amendment to put the case in condition for allowability. Applicant declined this offer.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)